The Wright functions as solutions of the time-fractional diffusion equation
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Abstract

We revisit the Cauchy problem for the time-fractional diffusion equation, which is obtained from the standard diffusion equation by replacing the first-order time derivative with a fractional derivative of order $\beta \in (0, 2)$. By using the Fourier–Laplace transforms the fundamentals solutions (Green functions) are shown to be high transcendental functions of the Wright-type that can be interpreted as spatial probability density functions evolving in time with similarity properties. We provide a general representation of these functions in terms of Mellin–Barnes integrals useful for numerical computation.
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1. Introduction

Time-fractional diffusion equations, obtained from the standard diffusion equation by replacing the first-order time derivative by a fractional derivative (of order $0 < \beta \leq 2$, in Riemann–Liouville or Caputo sense), have been treated in different contexts by a number of authors, see, e.g. the reviews in [1,13,19], and references therein. In this paper we intend to provide more insights for the
fundamental solutions of the general time-fractional diffusion equation, based on the recent results by Mainardi et al. [15].

By *time-fractional diffusion equation* we mean the evolution equation

$$
\frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial t^\beta} u(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x, t), \quad 0 < \beta \leq 2, \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+, \quad (1.1)
$$

where the time-fractional derivative is intended in the Caputo sense. For a detailed discussion on this fractional derivative we refer the reader to e.g. [8,20]. When $\beta$ is not integer ($\beta \neq 1, 2$) the L.H.S. of (1.1) is intended to be

$$
\frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial t^\beta} u(x, t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} u(x, \tau) \right] (t-\tau)^{\beta-1} \, d\tau, & \text{if } 0 < \beta < 1, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} u(x, \tau) \right] (t-\tau)^{\beta-2} \, d\tau, & \text{if } 1 < \beta < 2. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)
$$

When $\beta$ is integer ($\beta = 1, 2$) the R.H.S. of (1.2) is intended to reduce to the corresponding partial derivative of integer order, namely we recover, for $\beta = 1$, the diffusion equation:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+, \quad (1.3)
$$

for $\beta = 2$, the D’Alembert wave equation:

$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+. \quad (1.4)
$$

For $1 < \beta < 2$ the fractional equation in (1.1) is expected to interpolate (1.3) and (1.4), thus in this case it could be referred to as the *time-fractional diffusion-wave equation*. Suitable integrations allow us to eliminate the time-fractional derivative in (1.1) and obtain the integro-differential equations:

if $0 < \beta \leq 1$,

$$
u(x, t) = u(x, 0^+) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x, \tau) \right] (t-\tau)^{\beta-1} \, d\tau, \quad (1.5)$$

if $1 < \beta \leq 2$,

$$
u(x, t) = u(x, 0^+) + u_t(x, 0^+) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x, \tau) \right] (t-\tau)^{\beta-1} \, d\tau. \quad (1.6)$$

In order to correctly formulate and solve the Cauchy problem for (1.1) we have to select explicit initial conditions concerning $u(x, 0^+)$ if $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and $u(x, 0^+), u_t(x, 0^+)$ if $1 < \beta \leq 2$. If $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ denote sufficiently well-behaved
real functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$, the Cauchy problem consists in finding the solution of (1.1) subjected to the initial conditions:

\begin{align}
&u(x,0^+) = \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ if } 0 < \beta \leq 1, \\
&u(x,0^+) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x,0^+) = \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ if } 1 < \beta \leq 2. 
\end{align}

(1.7a) (1.7b)

We note that if we set $\psi(x) \equiv 0$ in (1.7b) we ensure the continuous dependence of the corresponding solution with respect to the parameter $\beta$ in the transition from $\beta = 1^-$ to $\beta = 1^+$ as it turns out by comparing Eqs. (1.5) and (1.6).

2. The Green functions: scaling and similarity properties

The Cauchy problems can be conveniently treated by making use of the most common integral transforms, i.e. the Fourier transform (in space) and the Laplace transform (in time). Indeed, the composite Fourier–Laplace transforms of the solutions of the two Cauchy problems:

\begin{align}
&\{ (1.1) + (1.7a) \} \quad \text{if } 0 < \beta \leq 1, \\
&\{ (1.1) + (1.7b) \} \quad \text{if } 1 < \beta \leq 2,
\end{align}

turn out to satisfy the following algebraic equations

\begin{align}
-k^2 \hat{u}(\kappa, s) = s^\beta \hat{u}(\kappa, s) - s^{\beta - 1} \hat{\phi}(\kappa), & \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1, \\
-k^2 \hat{u}(\kappa, s) = s^\beta \hat{u}(\kappa, s) - s^{\beta - 1} \hat{\phi}(\kappa) - s^{\beta - 2} \hat{\psi}(\kappa), & \quad 1 < \beta \leq 2,
\end{align}

(2.1a) (2.1b)

from which we obtain

\begin{align}
\hat{u}(\kappa, s) = \frac{s^{\beta - 1} \hat{\phi}(\kappa)}{s^\beta + \kappa^2}, & \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1,
\end{align}

(2.2a)

---

1 In what follows we shall meet only functions that are defined and continuous in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and/or $t \in (0,T)$, $\forall T > 0$ except, possibly, at isolated points where these functions can be infinite. Following Marichev [17] we restrict our attention to the classes of such functions for which the Riemann improper integrals in $x$ and in $t$ absolutely converges on $\mathbb{R}$ and $(0,T)$, $\forall T > 0$, respectively. We denote these classes as $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $L^\infty(0,T)$. Let

\[ \hat{f}(\kappa) = \mathcal{F}\{ f(x); \kappa \} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\kappa x} f(x) \, dx, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, \]

denote the Fourier transform of a function $f(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and let

\[ \hat{f}(s) = \mathcal{L}\{ f(t); s \} = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-s t} f(t) \, dt, \quad \Re(s) > a_f, \]

denote the Laplace transform of a function $f(t) \in L^\infty(0,T)$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ the juxtaposition of a function with its Fourier and Laplace transform, respectively.
\[ \widehat{u}(\kappa, s) = \frac{s^{\beta-1}}{s^\beta + \kappa^2} \widehat{\phi}(\kappa) + \frac{s^{\beta-2}}{s^\beta + \kappa^2} \widehat{\psi}(\kappa), \quad 1 < \beta \leq 2. \] (2.2b)

By fundamental solutions (or Green functions) of the above Cauchy problems we mean the (generalized) solutions corresponding to the initial conditions

\[ G^{(1)}_\beta(x, 0^+) = \delta(x), \quad \text{if } 0 < \beta \leq 1, \] (2.3a)

\[
\begin{cases}
G^{(1)}_\beta(x, 0^+) = \delta(x), & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G^{(1)}_\beta(x, 0^+) = 0, \\
G^{(2)}_\beta(x, 0^+) = 0, & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G^{(2)}_\beta(x, 0^+) = \delta(x),
\end{cases}
\] (2.3b)

Here \( \delta(x) \) is the delta-Dirac generalized function whose (generalized) Fourier transform is known to be one. Thus, the Fourier–Laplace transforms of these Green functions turn out to be

\[ \widehat{G}^{(\beta)}_j(\kappa, s) = \frac{s^{\beta-j}}{s^\beta + \kappa^2}, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 2, \quad j = 1, 2. \] (2.4)

We note that the function \( G^{(2)}_\beta(x, t) \) along with its Fourier–Laplace transform is well defined also for \( 0 < \beta \leq 1 \) even if it loses its meaning of being a fundamental solution of (1.1). Then, by recalling the Fourier convolution property in the inversion of the Fourier–Laplace transforms of (2.2a) and (2.2b), we note that the Green functions allow us to represent the solutions of the above two Cauchy problems through the relevant integral formulas

\[ u(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G^{(1)}_\beta(\xi, t) \phi(x - \xi) \, d\xi, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1, \] (2.5a)

\[ u(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ G^{(1)}_\beta(\xi, t) \phi(x - \xi) + G^{(2)}_\beta(\xi, t) \psi(x - \xi) \right] \, d\xi, \quad 1 < \beta \leq 2. \] (2.5b)

By using the known scaling rules for the Fourier and Laplace transforms, and introducing the similarity variable \( x/t^{\beta/2} \), we infer from (2.4) (thus without inverting the two transforms) the scaling properties of the Green functions,

\[ G^{(1)}_\beta(x, t) = t^{-\beta/2} K^{(1)}_\beta(x/t^{\beta/2}), \quad G^{(2)}_\beta(x, t) = t^{-\beta/2+1} K^{(2)}_\beta(x/t^{\beta/2}), \] (2.6)

where the one-variable functions \( K^{(1)}_\beta(x) \), \( K^{(2)}_\beta(x) \) are referred to as the reduced Green functions. We note that all Green functions are symmetric with respect to \( x \) and

\[ K^{(j)}_\beta(x) = G^{(j)}_\beta(x, 1) = K^{(j)}_\beta(-x), \quad j = 1, 2. \] (2.7)
3. Mellin–Barnes integral representation of the Green functions

To determine the two Green functions in the space–time domain we can follow two alternative strategies related to the different order in carrying out the inversion of the Fourier–Laplace transforms in (2.4), (2.5a) and (2.5b). Indeed we can

(S1): invert the Fourier transforms getting

$$\widetilde{G}^{(1)}_\beta(x,s), \widetilde{G}^{(2)}_\beta(x,s),$$

and then invert these Laplace transforms,

(S2): invert the Laplace transforms getting

$$\widetilde{d}^{(1)}_\beta(x,t), \widetilde{d}^{(2)}_\beta(x,t),$$

and then invert these Fourier transforms.

Strategy (S1): Recalling the Fourier transform pair,

$$\frac{a_j}{b + \kappa^2} \leftrightarrow \frac{a_j}{2b^{3/2}} e^{-|x|b^{1/2}}, \quad b > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

and setting $a_j = s^{\beta-j}, \ b = s^\beta$ we get

$$\widetilde{G}^{(j)}_\beta(x,s) = s^{\beta/2-j} e^{-|x|s^{\beta/2}}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.2)$$

Strategy (S2): Recalling the Laplace transform pair, see e.g. [8,20],

$$\frac{s^{\beta-j}}{s^\beta + c} \leftrightarrow t^{j-1}E_{\beta,j}(-ct^\beta), \quad c > 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where $E_{\beta,j}$ denotes the two-parameter Mittag–Leffler function \(^2\) and setting $c = \kappa^2$ we get

$$\widetilde{G}^{(j)}_\beta(x,t) = t^{j-1}E_{\beta,j}(-\kappa^2 t^\beta), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.4)$$

The strategy (S1) has been followed by Mainardi [11–13] to obtain the first Green function as

\(^2\) The Mittag–Leffler function $E_{\beta,\mu}$ with $\beta, \mu > 0$ is an entire transcendental function of order $\rho = 1/\beta$, defined in the complex plane by the power series

$$E_{\beta,\mu}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\beta n + \mu)}, \quad \beta, \mu > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Originally, at the beginning of 1900, Mittag–Leffler introduced and investigated (in five notes from 1902 to 1905) the function

$$E_\alpha(z) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

as an instructive example of entire function that generalizes the exponential. For more information on the Mittag–Leffler-type functions the reader may consult the classical handbook of the Bateman Project [3, vol. 3, Chapter 18] and e.g. [7,8,10,14,20,21].
\[ G^{(1)}_\beta(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} t^{-\beta/2} M_{\beta/2}(|x|/t^{\beta/2}), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.5) \]

where \(M_{\beta/2}\) denotes the so-called \(M\) function of order \(\beta/2\), see also [9,20], which is a noteworthy case of the Wright function. As far as the second Green function is concerned, we note from (3.2) that \(G^{(2)}_\beta(x, s) = G^{(1)}_\beta(x, s)/s\), so

\[ G^{(2)}_\beta(x, t) = \int_0^t G^{(1)}_\beta(x, \tau) \, d\tau. \quad (3.6) \]

Closed form solutions are found in the special case \(\beta = 1\) (diffusion equation) and in the limiting case \(\beta = 2\) (D’Alembert wave equation). We easily recognize for \(\beta = 1\):

\[ G^{(1)}_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2/(4t^2)}, \quad G^{(2)}_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2/(4t^2)} - \frac{x}{2} \text{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{2t^{1/2}}\right), \quad (3.7) \]

where \(\text{erfc}\) denotes the complementary error function, and, for \(\beta = 2\):

\[ G^{(1)}_2(x, t) = \frac{\delta(x + t) + \delta(x - t)}{2}, \quad G^{(2)}_2(x, t) = \frac{\theta(x + t) - \theta(x - t)}{2}, \quad (3.8) \]

where \(\theta\) denotes the unit-step Heaviside function.

The strategy (S2) has been followed by Gorenflo, Iskenderov & Luchko [4] and by Mainardi, Luchko & Pagnini [15] to obtain the first Green function of the more general space–time-fractional diffusion equations. For the determin-

\[ M_\rho(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^n}{n! [\rho n + (1 - \rho)]}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \]

It turns out that \(M_\rho(z)\) is an entire function of order \(\rho = 1/(1 - \rho)\), which provides a generalization of the Gaussian and of the Airy function. In fact we obtain

\[ M_{1/2}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(-z^2/4\right), \quad M_{1/3}(z) = 3^{2/3} \text{Ai}(z/3^{1/3}). \]

The \(M\) function is a special case of the Wright function defined by the series representation, valid in the whole complex plane,

\[ \Phi_{\lambda, \mu}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n! (\lambda n + \mu)}, \quad \lambda > -1, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \]

Indeed, we recognize

\[ M_\rho(z) = \Phi_{\rho, 1-\rho}(-z), \quad 0 < \rho < 1. \]

Originally, Wright introduced and investigated this function with the restriction \(\lambda \geq 0\) in a series of notes starting from 1933 in the framework of the asymptotic theory of partitions. Only later, in 1940, he considered the case \(-1 < \lambda < 0\). We note that in the handbook of the Bateman Project [3, vol. 3, Chapter 18], presumably for a misprint, \(\lambda\) is restricted to be non-negative. For more information on the Wright-type functions in time-fractional diffusion equations the interested reader may consult e.g. [5,6,9].
nation of the reduced Green functions $K_β^{(j)}(x) = G_β^{(j)}(x, 1)$ we can restrict our attention to $x > 0$, and thus write in view of (3.4) and (2.7)

$$K_β^{(j)}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \cos(\kappa x) E_{\beta,j}(-\kappa^2) \, d\kappa, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{3.9}$$

Following the method outlined in [4] and [15] we can invert the Fourier transforms in (3.9) and obtain

$$K_β^{(j)}(x) = \frac{1}{2x} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c - i\infty}^{c + i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1 - s)}{\Gamma(j - \beta s/2)} x^s \, ds, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{3.10}$$

The above integral is a particular Mellin–Barnes integral according to a usual terminology. The readers who are acquainted with the high transcendental Fox $H$ functions can recognize in the R.H.S. of (3.10) the representation of a certain function of this class see e.g. [1,9,10,17–19,21–23]. Unfortunately, as far as we know, computing routines for this general class of special functions are not yet available. Here, following the approach adopted in [15], we intend to compute the (reduced) Green functions in any space domain by matching a convergent power series (suitable for small $|x|$) with an asymptotic representation (suitable for large $|x|$).

In order to obtain the convergent power series we transform the original contour in (3.10) to the loop $L_{+\infty}$ encircling all the poles $s_n = 1 + n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ of the function $\Gamma(1 - s)$ and apply the residue theorem. We obtain

$$K_β^{(j)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^n}{n! [-\beta n/2 + (j - \beta/2)]}, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{3.11}$$

The asymptotic representation can be obtained by using the arguments by Braaksma [2] (see also [15]) and turns out to be

$$K_β^{(j)}(x) \sim A_j x^\gamma e^{-hx}, \quad x \to +\infty, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} A_1 = \left\{ 2\pi(2 - \beta)2^{\beta/(2-\beta)} \beta(2-\beta)/(2-\beta) \right\}^{-1/2}, \\ A_2 = \left\{ 2\pi(2 - \beta)2^{(5\beta-8)/(2-\beta)} \beta(6-4\beta)/(2-\beta) \right\}^{-1/2}, \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$
Fig. 1. Plot of $K^{(1)}_\beta(x)$ for $\beta = 0.5$.

Fig. 2. Plot of $K^{(1)}_\beta(x)$ for $\beta = 1$. 
Fig. 3. Plot of $K_{\beta}^{(1)}(x)$ for $\beta = 1.5$.

Fig. 4. Plot of $K_{\beta}^{(2)}(x)$ for $\beta = 1.5$. 

Fig. 5. Plot of $K_{\beta}^{(1)}(x)$ for $\beta = 1.75$.

Fig. 6. Plot of $K_{\beta}^{(2)}(x)$ for $\beta = 1.75$. 
\begin{align}
\quad a &= \frac{2\beta - 2}{2(2 - \beta)}, \quad b = (2 - \beta) 2^{-2/(2-\beta)} \beta^{\beta/(2-\beta)}, \quad c = \frac{2}{2 - \beta}.
\end{align}

We find it convenient to exhibit in Figs. 1–6 a few plots of the reduced Green functions $K_{\beta}^{(j)}(x)$ for some “characteristic” values of the parameter $\beta$. The plots are drawn by using the MATLAB system for the values of the independent variable $x$ in the range $|x| \leq 5$. To give the reader a better impression about the behaviour of the tails, the logarithmic scale is adopted. Both the Green functions turn out to be non-negative and normalized, so they are of the greatest interest in view of their interpretation as probability densities.

Acknowledgements

Research performed under the auspices of the National Group of Mathematical Physics (G.N.F.M.—I.N.D.A.M.) and partially supported by the Italian Ministry of University (M.I.U.R.) through the Research Commission of the University of Bologna. The authors are grateful to Prof. R. Gorenflo for helpful discussions.

References


