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Abstract

We discuss some applications of the Mittag-Leffler function and related probability distributions in the theory of renewal processes and continuous time random walks. In particular we show the asymptotic (long time) equivalence of a generic power law waiting time to the Mittag-Leffler waiting time distribution via rescaling and respeeding the clock of time. By a second respeeding (by rescaling the spatial variable) we obtain the diffusion limit of the continuous time random walk under power law regimes in time and in space. Finally, we exhibit the time-fractional drift process as a diffusion limit of the fractional Poisson process and as a subordinator for space-time fractional diffusion.
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1 Introduction

At the beginning of the past century Gösta Magnus Mittag-Leffler introduced the entire function

$$E_\alpha(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(1+\alpha n)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \Re(\alpha) > 0 \quad (1.1)$$

and investigated its basic properties, see Mittag-Leffler (1903). Although this function,
named after him, and its generalization

\[
E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha n)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \Re(\alpha) > 0, \ \Re(\beta) > 0
\]  

were investigated by some authors, e.g. Wiman (1905), and used for the solution of the second kind Abel integral equation, see Hille and Tamarkin (1930), it did not find the deserved attention of the general community. In several important books and collections of formulas like Abramowitz and Stegun (1965) and Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (2000) on special functions it was ignored; a noteworthy exception is Chapter XVIII in Vol. III of Erdélyi et al. (Bateman project of 1955).

In this report a prominent role as waiting time distribution will be played by the Mittag-Leffler probability distribution function, see Pillai (1990),

\[
\Phi_{\beta}^{ML}(t) = 1 - E_{\beta}(-t^\beta), \quad t \geq 0, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1
\]

the probability of a waiting time greater than \( t \), called survival probability,

\[
\Psi_{\beta}^{ML} = 1 - \Phi_{\beta}^{ML}(t) = E_{\beta}(-t^\beta),
\]

and the corresponding probability density function

\[
\phi_{\beta}^{ML}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\Phi_{\beta}^{ML}(t) = -\frac{d}{dt}E_{\beta}(-t^\beta) = t^{\beta-1} E_{\beta,\beta}(-t^\beta), \quad t \geq 0.
\]

The functions \( E_{\beta}(-t^\beta) \) and \( \phi_{\beta}^{ML}(t) \) are completely monotone (representable as Laplace transforms of non-negative measures), see Feller (1971), in concreto Gorenflo and Mainardi (1997),

\[
\phi_{\beta}^{ML}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^\beta \sin(\beta \pi)}{r^{2\beta} + 2r^\beta \cos(\beta \pi) + 1} \exp(-rt) \, dr.
\]

Particularly important is the power law asymptotics for \( t \to \infty \):

\[
E_{\beta}(-t^\beta) \sim \frac{t^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(1-\beta)}, \quad \phi_{\beta}^{ML}(t) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1) \sin(\beta \pi)}{\pi} t^{-\beta-1}
\]

in contrast to the exponential decay of \( E_1(t) = \exp(-t) \). These asymptotics are an essential reason for the importance of these functions in modeling anomalous diffusion processes. For later use let us here note the Laplace transforms (for \( 0 < \beta \leq 1 \) and \( \Re(s) \geq 0 \))

\[
\tilde{\Psi}_{\beta}^{ML} = \frac{s^{\beta-1}}{s^{\beta} + 1}, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{\beta}^{ML} = \frac{1}{s^{\beta} + 1}.
\]

Notations

\[
\hat{f}(\kappa) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \exp(i\kappa x) \, dx,
\]
with $\kappa$ real, for the Fourier transform,
\[
\tilde{g}(s) := \int_0^\infty g(t) \exp(-st) \, dt,
\]
with $s$ in a suitable right half-plane, for the Laplace transform.

**Remark 1.1** Formula (1.5) exhibits for $0 < \beta < 1$ the Mittag-Leffler waiting time density as a mixture of infinitely many exponential waiting time densities $r \exp(-rt)$ with $r$-dependent weight function behaving like $r^{-\beta-1} \sin(\beta \pi)$ for large $r$, like $r^{\beta-1} \sin(\beta \pi)$ for small $r$. Again we have power law asymptotics. For interpretation consider the fact that the density $r \exp(-rt)$ whose mean is $1/r$ decays exponentially fast for large $r$ but not so fast for small $r$. Starting around 1965 these functions attained increasing attention among researchers, first in the theory of elasticity and relaxation (Caputo and Mainardi (1971), Nonnenmacher (1991)), and later in the theory of continuous time random walk (pioneered by Montroll and Weiss (1965) who, however did not see the relevance of the Mittag-Leffler function) and its limiting relation to fractional diffusion. There are instances where researchers found the Laplace transform of solutions to certain problems but did not identify it as the transforms of functions of Mittag-Leffler type, for example Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1968) in the theory of thinning or rarefaction of a renewal process, Balakrishnan (1985) in his asymptotic investigation of continuous time random walks. For the latter it was Hilfer with Anton (1995) who clarified the relationship between continuous time random walk (in the sense of Montroll and Weiss), Mittag-Leffler waiting time and fractional derivative in time. As more recent monographs with useful information on Mittag-Leffler functions let us cite Samko, Kilbas and Marichev (1993), Podlubny (1999), Miller and Ross (1993), Kilbas, Srivastava and Trujillo (2006), Mathai and Haubold (2008). See also the comprehensive recent report by Haubold, Mathai and Saxena (2009). Due to the growing importance of Mittag-Leffler functions there now is also activity in the development of efficient methods for their numerical calculation, see. e.g. Gorenflo, Loutschko and Luchko (2002) and Seybold and Hilfer (2008).

In Section 2 of the present paper we will sketch the basic formalism of continuous time random walk, then in Section 3 under power law regime the well-scaled transition to the diffusion limit yielding the Cauchy problem for space-time fractional diffusion. Section 4 is devoted to thinning (or rarefaction) of a renewal process under power law regime and the relevant scaled transition via rescaling and re-speeding, to a renewal process with Mittag-Leffler waiting time. Then, in Section 5, the Mittag-Leffler waiting time law and its relevance in continuous time random walk and the limiting fractional diffusion processes are discussed. Again, the transitions are achieved via re-scaling and re-speeding. Finally, in Section 6, we discuss the time-fractional drift and its role as a time-changing subordinator (producing the operational time from the physical time) in space-time fractional diffusion. Conclusions are drawn in Section 7.

## 2 Continuous Time Random Walk

Starting in the Sixties and Seventies of the past century the concept of continuous time
random walk, CTRW, became popular in physics as a rather general (microscopic) model for diffusion processes. Let us just cite Montroll and Weiss (1965), Montroll and Scher (1973), and the monograph of Weiss (1994). Mathematically, a CTRW is a compound renewal process or a renewal process with rewards or a random-walk subordinated to a renewal process, and has been treated as such by Cox (1967). It is generated by a sequence of independently and identically distributed (iid) positive waiting times \( T_1, T_2, T_3, \ldots \), each having the same probability distribution \( \Phi(t) \), \( t \geq 0 \), of waiting times \( T \), and a sequence of iid random jumps \( X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots \), each having the same probability distribution function \( W(x) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), of jumps \( X \). These distributions are assumed to be independent of each other. Allowing generalized functions (that are interpretable as measures) in the sense of Gelfand and Shilov (1964) we have corresponding probability densities \( \phi(t) = \Phi'(t) \) and \( w(x) = W'(x) \) that we will use for ease of notation. Setting \( t_0 = 0, t_n = T_1 + T_2 + \cdots + T_n \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( x_0 = 0, x_n = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n \), \( x(t) = x_n \) for \( t_n \leq t < t_{n+1} \) we get a (microscopic) model of a diffusion process. A wandering particle starts in \( x_0 = 0 \) and makes a jump \( X_n \) at each instant \( t_n \). Natural probabilistic reasoning then leads us to the integral equation of continuous time random walk for the probability density \( p(x, t) \) of the particle being in position \( x \) at instant \( t \geq 0 \):

\[
p(x, t) = \delta(x) \Psi(t) + \int_0^t \phi(t-t') \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w(x-x') p(x', t') \, dx' \right) \, dt'.
\]  

(2.1)

Here the survival probability

\[
\Psi(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \phi(t') \, dt'
\]  

(2.2)

denotes the probability that at instant \( t \) the particle still is sitting in its initial position \( x_0 = 0 \). Using, generically, for the Laplace transform of a function \( f(t) \), \( t \geq 0 \), and the Fourier transform of a function \( g(x) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), the notations

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{f}(s) &:= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} f(t) \, dt, \quad \Re(s) \geq \Re(s_0), \\
\hat{g}(\kappa) &:= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\kappa x} g(x) \, dx, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R},
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.3)

we arrive, via \( \hat{\delta}(\kappa) \equiv 1 \) and the convolution theorems, in the transform domain at the equation

\[
\hat{p}(\kappa, s) = \hat{\Psi}(s) + \hat{w}(\kappa) \hat{\phi}(s) \hat{p}(\kappa, s),
\]  

(2.4)

which, by \( \hat{\Phi}(s) = (1 - \hat{\phi}(s))/s \) implies the Montroll-Weiss equation, see Montroll and Weiss (1965), Weiss (1994),

\[
\hat{p}(\kappa, s) = \frac{1 - \hat{\phi}(s)}{s} \frac{1}{1 - \hat{w}(\kappa) \hat{\phi}(s)}.
\]  

(2.5)

Because of \( |\hat{w}(\kappa)| < 1, |\hat{\phi}(s)| < 1 \) for \( \kappa \neq 0, s \neq 0 \), we can expand into a geometric series

\[
\hat{p}(\kappa, s) = \hat{\Psi}(s) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \hat{\phi}(s) \hat{w}(\kappa) \right]^n,
\]  

(2.6)
and promptly obtain the series representation of the CTRW, see Cox (1967), Weiss (1994),

\[ p(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n(t) w_n(x). \]  

(2.7)

Here the functions \( v_n = (\Psi \ast \phi^* n) \) and \( w_n = w^* \) are obtained by iterated convolutions in time \( t \) and in space \( x \), respectively, in particular we have

\[ v_0(t) = (\Psi \ast \delta)(t) = \Psi(t), \quad v_1(t) = (\Psi \ast \phi)(t), \quad w_0(x) = \delta(x), \quad w_1(x) = w(x). \]

The representation (2.7) can be found without the detour over (2.5) by direct probabilistic treatment. It exhibits the CTRW as a subordination of a random walk to a renewal process.

Note that in the special case \( \phi(t) = m \exp(-mt), \ m > 0, \) the equation (2.1) describes the compound Poisson process. It reduces after some manipulations (best carried out in the transform domain) to the Kolmogorov-Feller equation

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x, t) = -mp(x, t) = m \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w(x - x') p(x', t) dx'. \]

and from (2.7) we obtain the series representation

\[ p(x, t) = e^{-mt} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(mt)^n}{n!} w_n(x). \]

3 Power Laws and Diffusion Limit

In recent decades power laws in physical (and also economical and other) processes and situations have become increasingly popular for modeling slow (in contrast to fast, mostly exponential) decay at infinity, see Newman (2005) for a general introduction to this concept. For our purpose let us assume that the distribution of jumps is symmetric, and that the distribution of jumps, likewise that of waiting times, either has finite second or first moment, respectively, or decays near infinity like a power with exponent \(-\alpha\) or \(-\beta\), respectively, \(0 < \alpha < 2, \ 0 < \beta < 1\). Then we can state Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. These lemmas and more general ones (e.g. with slowly varying decorations of the power laws (a) and (b)) can be distilled from the Gnedenko theorem on the domains of attraction of stable probability laws (see Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1954)), also from Chapter 9 of Bingham, Goldie and Teugels (1987). For wide generalizations (to several space dimensions and to anisotropy) see Meerschaert and Scheffler (2001). They can also be modified to cover the special case of smooth densities \( w(x) \) and \( \phi(t) \) and to the case of fully discrete random walks, see Gorenflo and Abdel-Rehim (2004), Gorenflo and Vivoli (2003). For proofs see also Gorenflo and Mainardi (2009).

Lemma 3.1 (for the jump distribution): Assume \( W(x) \) increasing, \( W(-\infty) = 0, \ W(\infty) = 1, \) and symmetry \( W(-x) + W(x) = 1 \) for all continuity points \( x \) of \( W(x) \), and assume (a)
or (b).
(a):
\[ \sigma^2 := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^2 \, dW(x) < \infty, \]
labeled as \( \alpha = 2 \);
(b):
\[ \int_x^{\infty} dW(x') \sim b \alpha^{-1} x^{-\alpha} \text{ for } x \to \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2, \quad b > 0. \]

Then, with \( \mu = \sigma^2/2 \) in case (a), \( \mu = b \pi/[\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \sin(\alpha \pi/2)] \) in case (b).

We have the asymptotics \( 1 - \tilde{w}(\kappa) \sim \mu |\kappa|^{\alpha} \) for \( \kappa \to 0 \).

**Lemma 3.2** (for the waiting time distribution): Assume \( \Phi(t) \) increasing, \( \Phi(0) = 0 \), \( \Phi(\infty) = 1 \), and (A) or (B).

(A) \( \rho := \int_0^{\infty} t \, d\Phi(t) < \infty \), labeled as \( \beta = 1 \),
(B) \( 1 - \Phi(t) \sim c \beta^{-1} t^{-\beta} \) for \( t \to \infty, \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \quad c > 0 \).

Then, with \( \lambda = \rho \) in case (A), \( \lambda = c \pi/[\Gamma(\beta + 1) \sin(\beta \pi)] \) in case (B).

We have the asymptotics \( 1 - \phi(s) \sim \lambda s^\beta \) for \( 0 < s \to 0 \).

We will now outline the well-scaled passage to the diffusion limit by which, via rescaling space and time in a combined way, we will arrive at the Cauchy problem for the space-time fractional diffusion equation. Assuming the conditions of the two lemmas fulfilled, we carry out this passage in the Fourier-Laplace domain. For rescaling we multiply the jumps and the waiting times by positive factors \( h \) and \( \tau \) and so obtain a random walk \( x_n(h) = (X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n) h \) with jump instants \( t_n(h) = (T_1 + T_2 + \cdots + T_n) \tau \). We study this rescaled random walk under the intention to send \( h \) and \( \tau \) towards 0. Physically, we change the units of measurement from 1 to \( 1/h \) in space, from \( 1 \) to \( 1/\tau \) in time, respectively, making intervals of moderate size numerically small, and intervals of large size numerically of moderate size, in this way turning from the microscopic to the macroscopic view. Noting the densities \( w_h(x) = w(x/h)/h \) and \( \phi_\tau(t/\tau)/\tau \) of the reduced jumps and waiting times, we get the corresponding transforms \( \tilde{w}_h(\kappa) = (kh), \quad \tilde{\phi}_\tau(s) = \tilde{\phi}(\tau s) \), and in analogy to the Montroll-Weiss equation (2.5) the result

\[ \tilde{p}_{h,\tau}(\kappa, s) = \frac{1 - \tilde{\phi}_\tau(s)}{s} \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{\phi}(\tau s)} = \frac{1 - \tilde{\phi}(\tau s)}{s} \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{w}(h \kappa) \tilde{\phi}_\tau(s)} . \]  

Fixing now \( \kappa \) and \( s \) both as \( \neq 0 \), replacing \( \kappa \) by \( h \kappa \) and \( s \) by \( \tau s \) in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, sending \( h \) and \( \tau \) to zero, we obtain by a trivial calculation the asymptotics

\[ \tilde{p}_{\kappa, s}(\kappa, s) \sim \frac{\lambda s^{\beta - 1}}{\mu(h \kappa)^\alpha + \lambda \tau s^\beta} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

that we can rewrite in the form

\[ \tilde{p}_{\kappa, s}(\kappa, s) \sim \frac{s^{\beta - 1}}{r(h, \tau)[\kappa]^{\alpha} + s^\beta} \quad \text{with} \quad r(h, \tau) = \frac{\mu h^\alpha}{\lambda T^\beta} . \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)
Choosing \( r(h, \tau) \equiv 1 \) (it suffices to choose \( r(h, \tau) \to 1 \)) we get
\[
\tilde{p}_{h,\tau}(\kappa, s) \to \tilde{p}_{0,0}(\kappa, s) = \frac{s^{\beta-1}}{|\kappa|^\alpha + s^\beta}.
\tag{3.4}
\]

We honor by the name scaling relation our condition
\[
\frac{\mu h^\alpha}{\lambda \tau^\beta} \equiv 1.
\tag{3.5}
\]

Via \( \tau = (\mu/\lambda) h^\alpha \) we can eliminate the parameter \( \tau \), apply inverse Laplace transform to (3.2), fix \( \kappa \) and send \( h \to 0 \). So, by the continuity theorem (for the Fourier transform of a probability distribution, see Feller (1971), we can identify
\[
\tilde{p}_{0,0}(\kappa, s) = \frac{s^{\beta-1}}{|\kappa|^\alpha + s^\beta}
\]
as the Fourier-Laplace solution \( \tilde{u}(\kappa, s) \) of the space-time fractional Cauchy problem (for \( x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0 \))
\[
_tD_\alpha^\beta u(x, t) = x D_0^\alpha u(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = \delta(x), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1,
\tag{3.6}
\]
Here, for \( 0 < \beta \leq 1 \), we denote by \( _tD_\alpha^\beta \) the regularized fractional differential operator, see Gorenflo and Mainardi (1997), according to
\[
_{tD_\alpha^\beta} g(t) = _tD_\alpha^\beta [g(t) - g(0)]
\tag{3.7}
\]
with the Riemann-Liouville fractional differential operator
\[
_{tD_\alpha^\beta} g(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t g(t') \frac{d\tau}{(t-t')^\beta}, & 0 < \beta < 1, \\ \frac{d}{dt} g(t), & \beta = 1. \end{cases}
\tag{3.8}
\]
Hence, in longscript:
\[
_{tD_\alpha^\beta} g(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t g(t') \frac{d\tau}{(t-t')^\beta} - \frac{g(0) t^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(1-\beta)}, & 0 < \beta < 1 \\ \frac{d}{dt} g(t), & \beta = 1. \end{cases}
\tag{3.9}
\]
If \( g'(t) \) exists we can write
\[
_{tD_\alpha^\beta} g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^t \frac{g'(t')}{(t-t')^\beta} dt', \quad 0 < \beta < 1,
\]
and the regularized fractional derivative coincides with the form introduced by Caputo, see Caputo and Mainardi (1971), Gorenflo and Mainardi (1997), Podlubny (1999), henceforth
referred to as the Caputo derivative. Observe that in the special case $\beta = 1$ the two fractional derivatives $t^\beta D^\beta_+ g(t)$ and $t^\beta D^\beta_- g(t)$ coincide, both then being equal to $g(t)$.

The Riesz operator is a pseudo-differential operator according to

$$x D_x^\alpha f = -|\kappa|^\alpha \hat{f}(\kappa), \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R},$$

(3.10)

compare Samko, Kilbas and Marichev (1993) and Rubin (1996). It has the Fourier symbol $-|\kappa|^\alpha$. In the transform domain (3.6) means

$$s^{\beta-1} \hat{u}(\kappa, s) - s^{\beta-1} = -|\kappa|^\alpha \hat{u}(\kappa, s)$$

hence

$$\hat{u}(\kappa, s) = \frac{s^{\beta - 1} - |\kappa|^\alpha}{s^\beta},$$

(3.11)

and looking back at (3.4) we see: $\hat{u}(\kappa, s) = \hat{p}_{0,0}(\kappa, s)$. Thus, under the scaling relation (3.5), the Fourier-Laplace solution of the CTRW integral equation (2.1) converges to the Fourier-Laplace solution of the space-time fractional Cauchy problem (3.6), in space and in time, and we conclude that the sojourn probability of the CTRW converges weakly (or “in law”) to the solution of the Cauchy problem for the space-time fractional diffusion equation for every fixed $t > 0$. Later in this paper we will present another way of passing to the diffusion limit, a way in which by decoupling the transitions in time and in space we circumvent doubts on the correctness of the transition.

For a comprehensive study of integral representations of the solution to the Cauchy problem (3.6) we recommend the paper by Mainardi, Luchko and Pagnini (2001).

### 3.1 Subdiffusive and superdiffusive behavior

With regard to the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in equation (3.6) we single out the cases (i), (ii) and (iii) by attributing names to them.

(i) $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$: normal or Gaussian diffusion (according to $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$),

(ii) $\alpha = 2, 0 < \beta < 1$: time-fractional diffusion,

(iii) $0 < \alpha < 2, \beta = 1$: space-fractional diffusion.

Let us now consider (compare Gorenflo and Abdel-Rehim (2004)) the equation (3.6) and its solution (3.11) in transform space that describe the evolution of the sojourn probability density $u(x, t)$ of a wandering particle starting in the origin $x = 0$ at the initial instant $t = 0$. We call this behavior subdiffusive if the variance

$$\langle (x(t))^2 \rangle = (\sigma(t))^2 := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^2 u(x, t) \, dx$$

behaves for $t \to \infty$ like a power $t^\gamma$ with $0 < \gamma < 1$, normal if $\gamma = 1$, superdiffusive if $\gamma > 1$ or if this variance is infinite for positive $t$. Using the fact that by Fourier transform theory

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^2 u(x, t) \, dx = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \kappa^2} \hat{u}(\kappa, t)|_{\kappa=0}$$
and writing the right hand side of (3.11) as an infinite series in powers of $\kappa/s$ (convergent for $s > 1$) we find by termwise Laplace inversion

$$
\hat{u}(\kappa, t) = 1 - \frac{\kappa^\alpha t^\beta}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} + \frac{\kappa^{2\alpha} t^{2\beta}}{\Gamma(1+2\beta)} - \cdots = E_\beta \left( -|\kappa|^\alpha e^\beta \right)
$$

from which for $t > 0$ we obtain, for all $0 < \beta \leq 1$ the result,

$$(\sigma(t))^2 = \begin{cases} 
2t^{\beta}/\Gamma(1+\beta), & \text{if } \alpha = 2, \\
\alpha = 2, & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 2.
\end{cases}
$$

In the special case (i) of Gaussian diffusion this reduces to $(\sigma(t))^2 = 2t$.

4 Thinning (Rarefaction)

We are going to give an account of the essentials of thinning a renewal process with power law waiting times, thereby leaning on the presentation by Gnedenko and Kovalenko (1968) but for reasons of transparency not decorating the power functions by slowly varying functions. Compare also Mainardi, Gorenflo and Scalas (2004) and Gorenflo and Mainardi (2008).

Again (as in Section 2) with the $t_n$ in strictly increasing order, the time instants of a renewal process, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots$, with iid waiting times $T_k = t_k - t_{k-1}$ (generically denoted by $T$), thinning (or rarefaction) means that for each positive index $k$ a decision is made: the event happening in the instant $t_k$ is deleted with probability $p$ (where $0 < p < 1$) or is maintained with probability $q = 1 - p$. This procedure produces a thinned (or rarefied) renewal process, namely one with fewer events. Of particular interest for us is the case of $q$ near zero which results in very few events in a moderate span of time. To compensate for this loss (wanting to keep a moderate number of events in a moderate span of time) we change the unit of time which amounts to multiply the (numerical value of) the waiting time with a positive factor $\tau$ so that we get waiting times $\tau T_k$ and instants $\tau t_k$ in the rescaled process. Loosely speaking, it is our intention to dispose on $\tau$ in relation to the rarefaction factor $q$ in such way that for very small $q$ in some sense the “average” number of events per unit of time remains unchanged. We will make these considerations precise in an asymptotic sense.

Denoting by $F(t) = P(T \leq t)$ the probability distribution function of the original waiting time $T$, by $f(t)$ its density (generically this density is a generalized function represented by a measure) so that $F(t) = \int_0^t f(t') \, dt'$, and analogously for the functions $F_k(t)$ and $f_k(t)$, the distribution and density, respectively, of the sum of $k$ waiting times, we have recursively

$$
f_1(t) = f(t), \quad f_k(t) = \int_0^t f_{k-1}(t) \, dF(t') \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 2.
$$

(4.1)
Observing that after a maintained event of the original process the next one is kept with probability $p$ but dropped with probability $q$ in favor of the second-next with probability $pq$ and, generally $n - 1$ events are dropped in favor of the $n$th next with probability $p^{n-1}q$, we get for the waiting time density of the thinned process the formula

$$g_q(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q p^{n-1} f_n(t). \quad (4.2)$$

With the modified waiting time $\tau T$ we have $P(\tau T \leq t) = P(T \leq t/\tau) = F(t/\tau)$, hence the density $f(t/\tau)/\tau$, and analogously for the density of the sum of $n$ waiting times $f_n(t/\tau)/\tau$. The density of the waiting time of the sum of $n$ waiting times of the rescaled (and thinned) process now turns out as

$$g_{q,\tau}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q p^{n-1} f_n(t/\tau)/\tau. \quad (4.3)$$

In the Laplace domain we have $\tilde{f}_n(s) = (\tilde{f}(s))^n$, hence (using $p = 1 - q$)

$$\tilde{g}_q(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q p^{n-1} (\tilde{f}(s))^n = \frac{q \tilde{f}(s)}{1 - (1 - q) \tilde{f}(s)}. \quad (4.4)$$

By rescaling we get

$$\tilde{g}_{q,\tau}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q p^{n-1} (\tilde{f}(\tau s))^n = \frac{q \tilde{f}(\tau s)}{1 - (1 - q) \tilde{f}(\tau s)}. \quad (4.5)$$

Being interested in stronger and stronger thinning (infinite thinning) let us consider a scale of processes with the parameters $q$ of thinning and $\tau$ of rescaling tending to zero under a scaling relation $q = q(\tau)$ yet to be specified.

Let us consider two cases for the (original) waiting time distribution, namely as in Lemma 3.2 of Section 3 case (A) of a finite mean waiting time and case (B) of a power law waiting time. We assume

$$\lambda := \int_0^{\infty} t' f(t') \, dt' < \infty \quad (A), \quad \text{setting} \quad \beta = 1,$$

or

$$\Psi(t) = \int_t^{\infty} f(t') \, dt' \sim \frac{c}{\beta} t^{-\beta} \quad \text{for} \quad t \to \infty \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < \beta < 1. \quad (4.6B)$$

In case (B) we set

$$\lambda = \frac{c\pi}{\Gamma(\beta + 1) \sin(\beta\pi)}.$$
for fixed $s$ the Laplace transform (4.5) of the rescaled density $g_{q,\tau}(t)$ of the thinned process tends to \( \tilde{g}(s) = 1/(1 + s^\beta) \) corresponding to the Mittag-Leffler density
\[
g(t) = -\frac{d}{dt}E_{\beta}(-t^\beta) = \phi_{\beta}^{ML}(t).
\]
Thus, the thinned process converges weakly to the Mittag-Leffler renewal process described in Mainardi, Gorenflo and Scalas (2004) (called fractional Poisson process in Laskin (2003)) which in the special case $\beta = 1$ reduces to the Poisson process. In this sense the Mittag-Leffler renewal process is asymptotically universal for power law renewal processes.

5 Mittag-Leffler Waiting Time

Let us sketch how, under the power law assumptions of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, the simultaneous passage to the limit in inversion of the Fourier and Laplace transforms in (3.4) can be circumvented. Leaning on our presentations in Mainardi et al. (2000), Gorenflo et al. (2001) and Scalas, Gorenflo and Mainardi (2004), we introduce a memory function $H(t)$ via which we will arrive at an evolutionary integral equation for the sojourn probability density $p(x, t)$. For illustration we will soon consider a few special choices for this function. By rescaling and respeeding the process in time $t$ and passing to an appropriate limit we will get a time-fractional evolution equation for $p(x, t)$ (in fact a time-fractional generalization of the Kolmogorov-Feller equation) that arises also by direct insertion of the Mittag-Leffler waiting time density into the CTRW integral equation (2.1) as we can see in Hilfer and Anton (1995). Via a second respeeding, obtained by rescaling the spatial variable $x$, we will arrive at the Cauchy problem (3.6) for space-time fractional diffusion. We keep the notations of Sections 2 and 3. First, we introduce in the Laplace domain the auxiliary function
\[
\tilde{H}(s) = \frac{1 - \tilde{\phi}(s)}{s \phi(s)} = \frac{\tilde{\Psi}(s)}{\phi(s)},
\]
and see by trivial calculation that (2.4) is equivalent to
\[
\tilde{H}(s) \left[ s \tilde{p}(\kappa, s) - 1 \right] = [\tilde{w}(\kappa) - 1] \tilde{p}(\kappa, s),
\]
meaning in the space-time domain the generalized Kolmogorov-Feller equation
\[
\int_0^t H(t - t') \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} p(x, t') \, dt' = -p(x, t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w(x - x') p(x', t) \, dx',
\]
with $p(x, 0) = \delta(x)$. Note that (5.1) can be inverted to
\[
\tilde{\phi}(s) = \frac{1}{1 + s\tilde{H}(s)}.
\]
We may play with equation (5.1), trying special choices for $\tilde{H}(s)$ to obtain via (5.4) meaningful waiting time densities $\phi(t)$. Or we chose $H(t)$ hoping again to get via (5.4) a
meaningful density \( \phi(t) \). In accordance with our inclination towards power laws let us take \( H(s) = s^{\beta-1} \) and distinguish the cases (i) \( \beta = 1 \) and (ii) \( 0 < \beta < 1 \).

Case (i) yields

\[
\tilde{H}(s) \equiv 1, \ H(t) = \delta(t), \ \tilde{\phi}(s) = \frac{1}{1+s}, \ \phi(t) = \exp(-t),
\]

namely the exponential waiting time density, and (5.2) reduces to

\[
s \tilde{p}(\kappa, s) - 1 = [\tilde{w}(\kappa) - 1] \tilde{p}(\kappa, s), \tag{5.5}
\]

in the space-time domain the classical Kolmogorov-Feller equation

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x, t') \, dt' = -p(x, t) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x - x') \, p(x', t) \, dx', \tag{5.6}
\]

with \( p(x, 0) = \delta(x) \)

Case (ii) yields

\[
H(t) = \frac{t^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} =: H_{ML}^{\beta}(t), \ \tilde{\phi}(s) = \frac{1}{1+s^\beta}, \ \phi(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} E_{\beta}(-t^\beta) = \phi_{ML}^{\beta}(t),
\]

namely the Mittag-Leffler waiting time density introduced in Section 1 by formula (1.4). With the Caputo derivative operator \( t^{D^\beta} \) of (3.7) we get the Cauchy problem

\[
t^{D^\beta} p(x, t) = -p(x, t) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x - x') \, p(x', t) \, dx', \tag{5.7}
\]

with \( p(x, 0) = \delta(x) \).

**Remark 5.1** Because of (3.7) the equations (5.7) and (5.6) coincide in the special case \( \beta = 1 \).

### 5.1 Rescaling and respeeding

Let us now manipulate the generalized Kolmogorov-Feller equation (5.3) by working on it in the Laplace domain via (5.2).

Rescaling time means: With a positive scaling factor \( \tau \) (intended to be small) we replace the waiting time \( T \) by \( \tau T \). This amounts to replacing the unit 1 of time by \( 1/\tau \), and if \( \tau << 1 \) then in the rescaled process there will happen very many jumps in a moderate span of time (instead of the original moderate number in a moderate span of time). The rescaled waiting time density and its corresponding Laplace transform are \( \tilde{\phi}_{\tau}(s) = \phi(t/\tau)/\tau, \ \tilde{\phi}_{\tau}(s) = \phi(\tau s) \). Furthermore:

\[
\tilde{H}_{\tau}(s) = \frac{1 - \tilde{\phi}_{\tau}(s)}{s \tilde{\phi}_{\tau}(s)} = \frac{1 - \tilde{\phi}(\tau s)}{s \tilde{\phi}(\tau s)}, \ \text{hence} \ \tilde{\phi}_{\tau}(s) = \frac{1}{1 + s \tilde{H}_{\tau}(s)}, \tag{5.1.1}
\]
and (5.2) goes over into
\[ \tilde{H}_\tau(s) \left[ s\tilde{p}_\tau(\kappa, s) - 1 \right] = [\tilde{w}(\kappa) - 1] \tilde{p}_\tau(\kappa, s). \] (5.1.2)

**Remark 5.1.1** Note that in this Section 5 the position and meaning of the indices attached to the generic density \( p \) are convenient but different from those in Section 3.

Respeeding the process means multiplying the left hand side (actually \( \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} p(x, t') \)) of equation (5.3) by a positive factor \( 1/a \), or equivalently its right hand side by a positive factor \( a \).

We honor the number \( a \) by the name **respeeding factor**. \( a > 1 \) means acceleration, \( a < 1 \) deceleration. In the Fourier-Laplace domain the rescaled and respeeded CTRW process then assumes the form, analogous to (5.2) and (5.1.2),
\[ \tilde{H}_{\tau,a}(s) \left[ s\tilde{p}_{\tau,a}(\kappa, s) - 1 \right] = a \left[ \tilde{w}(\kappa) - 1 \right] \tilde{p}_{\tau,a}(\kappa, s), \] (5.1.3)

with
\[ \tilde{H}_{\tau,a}(s) = \frac{\tilde{H}_\tau(s)}{a} = \frac{1 - \tilde{\phi}(\tau s)}{a s \tilde{\phi}(\tau s)}, \]

What is the effect of such combined rescaling and respeeding? We find
\[ \tilde{\phi}_{\tau,a}(s) = \frac{1}{1 + s \tilde{H}_{\tau,a}(s)} = \frac{a \tilde{\phi}(\tau s)}{1 - (1 - a) \tilde{\phi}(\tau s)}, \] (5.1.4)

and are now in the position to address the **Asymptotic universality of the Mittag-Leffler waiting time density**. Using Lemma 3.2 with \( \tau s \) in place of \( s \) and taking
\[ a = \lambda \tau^\beta, \] (5.1.5)

fixing \( s \) as required by the continuity theorem of probability for Laplace transforms, the asymptotics \( \tilde{\phi}(\tau s) = 1 - \lambda (\tau s)^\beta + o((\tau s)^\beta) \) for \( \tau \to 0 \) implies
\[ \tilde{\phi}_{\tau,\lambda \tau^\beta}(s) = \frac{\lambda \tau^\beta \left[ 1 - \lambda (\tau s)^\beta \right] + o((\tau s)^\beta)}{1 - (1 - \lambda \tau^\beta) \left[ 1 - \lambda (\tau s)^\beta \right] + o((\tau s)^\beta)} \to \frac{1}{1 + s^\beta} = \tilde{\phi}_{\beta}^{ML}, \] (5.1.6)
corresponding to the Mittag-Leffler density
\[ \phi_{\beta}^{ML}(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} E_{\beta}(-t^\beta). \]

Observe that the parameter \( \lambda \) does not appear in the limit \( 1/(1 + s^\beta) \). We can make it reappear by choosing the respeeding factor \( \tau^\beta \) in place of \( \lambda \tau^\beta \). In fact:
\[ \tilde{\phi}_{\tau,\tau^\beta} \to \frac{1}{1 + \lambda s^\beta}. \]

Formula (5.1.6) says that the general density \( \phi(t) \) with power law asymptotics as in Lemma 3.2 is gradually deformed into the Mittag-Leffler waiting time density \( \phi_{\beta}^{ML}(t) \). It means
that with larger and larger unit of time (by sending \( \tau \to 0 \)) and stronger and stronger deceleration (by \( a = \lambda \tau^\beta \)) as described our process becomes indistinguishable from one with Mittag-Leffler waiting time (the probability distribution of jumps remaining unchanged). Likewise a pure renewal process with asymptotic power law density becomes indistinguishable from the one with Mittag-Leffler waiting time (the fractional generalization of the Poisson process by Laskin (2003) and Mainardi, Gorenflo and Scalas (2004)). In fact, we can consider the pure renewal process as a CTRW with jump density \( w(x) = \delta(x - 1) \), the position of the wandering particle representing the counting number (the number of events up to and including the instant \( t \)).

**Remark 5.1.2** It is instructive to look at the effect of combined rescaling and respeeding on the Mittag-Leffler density \( \tilde{\phi}^\text{ML}_\beta(t) \) itself which by (1.6) also obeys the asymptotic conditions of Lemma 3.2. We have \( \tilde{\phi}^\text{ML}_\beta(s) = 1 - s^\beta + o(s^\beta) \) for \( s \to 0 \), and with (5.1.4) we find the relation

\[
\left( \tilde{\phi}^\text{ML}_\beta \right)_{\tau,a}(s) = \tilde{\phi}^\text{ML}_\beta \left( \tau s / a^{1/\beta} \right) \text{ for all } \tau > 0, a > 0,
\]

expressing the self-similarity of the Mittag-Leffler density. In particular we have the formulas of self-similarity and invariance

\[
(\tilde{\phi}^\text{ML}_\beta)_{\tau,\beta}(t) = \tilde{\phi}^\text{ML}_\beta(t) \text{ for all } \tau > 0,
\]

telling us that the Mittag-Leffler density is invariant under the transformation (5.1.4) with the respeeding factor \( a = \tau^\beta \) in place of \( a = \lambda \tau^\beta \).

### 5.2 Diffusion limit in space

In addition to rescaling time we now rescale also the spatial variable \( x \), by replacing the jumps \( X \) by jumps \( hX \) with positive scaling factor \( h \), intended to be small. The rescaled jump density turns out as \( w_h(x) = w(x/h)/h \), corresponding to \( \tilde{w}_h(\kappa) = \tilde{w}(kh) \). Starting from the Fourier-Laplace representation (5.2) of our CTRW with general waiting time density, we accelerate the spatially rescaled process by the respeeding factor \( 1/(\mu h^\alpha) \) with \( \mu > 0 \) and arrive (using \( q_h \) as new dependent variable) at the equation

\[
\tilde{H}(s) \left[ s \tilde{q}_h(\kappa, s) - 1 \right] = \frac{\tilde{w}(\kappa h) - 1}{\mu h^\alpha} \tilde{q}_h(\kappa, s).
\]

Assuming now the power law assumptions of Lemma 3.1 satisfied, fixing \( \kappa \) as required by the continuity theorem for the Fourier transform, we get \( (\tilde{w}(\kappa h) - 1)/(\mu h^\alpha) \to -|\kappa|^\alpha \) for \( h \to 0 \), and writing \( u \) in place of \( q_0 \), in the limit

\[
\tilde{H}(s) \left[ s \tilde{u}(\kappa, s) - 1 \right] = -|\kappa|^\alpha \tilde{u}(\kappa, s).
\]

By Fourier inversion we get

\[
\tilde{H}(s) \left[ s \tilde{u}(x, s) - \delta(x) \right] = x D_0^\alpha \tilde{u}(x, s),
\]
and then by Laplace inversion in the space-time domain the Cauchy problem

\[ \int_0^t H(t - t') \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) \, dt = x D_0^\alpha u(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = \delta(x), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2. \]  
(5.2.3)

As in Section 3 we mean by \( x D_0^\alpha \) the Riesz pseudo-differential operator with Fourier symbol \(-|\kappa|^\alpha\) according to formula (3.10). Finally, inserting into (5.2.3) the Mittag-Leffler memory function

\[ H_{ML}^\beta(t) = \begin{cases} t^{-\beta} \Gamma(1 - \beta) & \text{if } 0 < \beta < 1, \\ \delta(t) & \text{if } \beta = 1, \end{cases} \]

we recover the Cauchy problem (3.6) for the space-time fractional diffusion equation, namely

\[ t D_0^\beta u(x, t) = x D_0^\alpha u(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = \delta(x), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1. \]  
(5.2.4)

**Comments** In this Section 5 we have split the passage to the limit into a temporal one \( \tau \rightarrow 0 \) and a spatial one \( h \rightarrow 0 \). In Section 3 by the well-scaled (combined) passage to the limit we have avoided the concept of respeeding but have had to eliminate the parameter \( \tau \) via the scaling relation (3.5). But where has the scaling relation (3.5) gone? We rediscover it as hidden in the deceleration (5.1.3) with (5.1.5) and the compensating acceleration (5.2.1). We recommend to compare this splitting with the more abstract technique of triangular arrays applied by Meerschaert and Scheffler (2004) and (2008). We think that our method offers intuitive insight into the meaning of passing to the diffusion limit.

### 6 Time-Fractional Drift and Subordination

In Section 2 we have seen in which way a CTRW is subordinated to a renewal process, and in Section 5 we have worked out the effect of subordination under the Mittag-Leffler renewal process, see equation (5.7). For the following considerations we hint to the references Mainardi, Gorenflo and Scalas (2004), Meerschaert et al (2002), Mainardi, Pagnini and Gorenflo (2003), Mainardi, Luchko and Pagnini (2001), Gorenflo, Mainardi and Vivoli (2007), Gorenflo and Mainardi (2008), and again to the papers by Meerschaert and Scheffler (2004) and (2008), process whose waiting density is \( \phi_{ML}^\beta(t) \) under the restriction \( 0 < \beta < 1 \), meaning exclusion of the limiting case \( \beta = 1 \) of exponential waiting time. Keeping our earlier notations, in particular \( T_k \) for the waiting times, \( t_k \) for the jump instants, and denoting by \( N = N(t) = \max \{ k | t_k \leq t \} \) the number of renewal or jump events up to instant \( t \) (the “counting number”) we have for a general renewal process the probability

\[ P(N(t) = k) = P(t_k \leq t, t_{k+1} > t). \]  
(6.1)

Finding it convenient to embed the formalism of renewal into the CTRW formalism we introduce a pseudo-spatial variable \( r \) taking the values of the counting number \( N \) and denote the sojourn probability by \( q(r, t) \) (with \( r > 0, \quad t \geq 0 \)), by \( v(r) \) the jump density.
Taking (because \(N\) runs through the non-negative integers) for the jump-width of the “random walk” so generated the constant 1 we have \(v(r) = \delta(r - 1)\) and \(\hat{v}(\kappa) = \exp(i\kappa)\), and (5.2) yields
\[
\hat{H}(s) \left[ s \hat{q}(\kappa, s) - 1 \right] = [\hat{v}(\kappa) - 1] \hat{q}(\kappa, s). \tag{6.2}
\]

With the waiting time density \(\phi_{ML}^{\beta}(t)\) and correspondingly
\[
\tilde{\phi}_{ML}^{\beta}(s) = \frac{1}{1 + s^\beta}, \quad \hat{H}(s) = s^{\beta - 1}
\]
(6.2) goes over in
\[
s^{\beta - 1} \left[ s \hat{q}_0(\kappa, s) - 1 \right] = [\exp(i\kappa) - 1] \hat{q}_0(\kappa, s). \tag{6.3}
\]

As we have done in Section 5 for the general CTRW we now ask what happens when we pass to the diffusion limit in “space” for the Mittag-Leffler renewal process in the CTRW formalism. Multiplying the jumps by a positive scaling factor \(\delta\), decorating \(q\) by such index, replacing \(\hat{v}(\kappa)\) by \(\hat{v}(\kappa\delta)\) according to
\[
v_{\delta}(r) = v(r/\delta)/\delta, \quad \text{fixing} \quad \kappa, \quad \text{finally accelerating by applying the factor} \quad \delta^{-1} \quad \text{to the right hand side we obtain the equation}
\]
\[
s^{\beta - 1} \left[ s \hat{q}_0(\kappa, s) - 1 \right] = \delta^{-1} \left[ \exp(i\kappa\delta) - 1 \right] \hat{q}_0(\kappa, s)
\]
and \(\delta \to 0\) yields the equation
\[
s^{\beta - 1} \left[ s \hat{q}_0(\kappa, s) - 1 \right] = i\kappa \hat{q}_0(\kappa, s) \tag{6.4}
\]
which implies
\[
\hat{q}_0(\kappa, s) = \frac{s^{\beta - 1}}{s^\beta - i\kappa}. \tag{6.5}
\]

We remark that an analogous limit can by proper scaling also be obtained directly from the generic power law renewal process that we have discussed in Section 4 on thinning. Note that (6.4) corresponds to the Cauchy problem for the positively oriented fractional drift equation with the Caputo derivative operator \(_t D_\alpha^\beta\),
\[
(_t D_\alpha^\beta q_0(r, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} q_0(r, t). \tag{6.6}
\]

Without inverting the transforms in (6.5) we can recognize the self-similarity of the function \(q_0(r, t)\). With any positive constants \(a\) and \(b\), generic functions \(f\) and \(g\) we have the correspondence of \(f(ax)\) to \(f(\kappa/a)/a\) and the correspondence of \(g(bt)\) to \(g(s/b)/b\), hence via (6.5) \(q_0(ax, bt) = b^{-\beta} q_0(ax/b^\beta, t)\), and with \(Q_0(x) = q_0(x, 1)\) and the similarity variable \(x/t^\beta\) we obtain
\[
q_0(x, t) = t^{-\beta} Q_0(x/t^\beta). \tag{6.7}
\]

Fourier inversion of (6.5 ) gives
\[
\bar{q}_0(r, s) = \begin{cases} 
  s^{\beta - 1} \exp(-rs^\beta), & \text{for } r > 0 \\
  0, & \text{for } r < 0.
\end{cases} \tag{6.8}
\]
Using the fact that \(\exp(-s^{\beta})\) is the Laplace transform of the extreme positive-oriented unilateral stable density of order \(\beta\), namely of \(L_{-\beta}^{-\beta}(t)\), we get

\[
q_0(r,t) = r^{-1/\beta} t J^{1-\beta} L_{-\beta}^{-\beta}\left(tr^{-1/\beta}\right),
\]

with the Riemann-Liouville fractional integration

\[
t^\gamma g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-t')^{\gamma-1} g(t') \, dt', \quad \gamma > 0.
\]

This solution can be expressed in alternative ways for which we refer to the references cited at the beginning of this section. We have, for \(t > 0\),

\[
q_0(r,t) = \frac{t}{\beta} r^{-1-1/\beta} L_{-\beta}^{-\beta}(tr^{-1/\beta}) = t^{-\beta} M_{\beta}(rt^{-\beta}),
\]

with the \(M\)-Wright function

\[
M_{\beta}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^n}{n!\Gamma[-\beta n + (1-\beta)]} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^{n-1}}{(n-1)! \Gamma(\beta n) \sin(\pi\beta n)}
\]

for whose properties and use we refer to Mainardi, Mura and Pagnini (2010).

We recognize the function \(q_0(r,t)\) as the sojourn probability density of the directing process (the subordinator) for space-time fractional diffusion (3.6), according to Meerschaert et al. (2002), Mainardi, Pagnini and Gorenflo (2003), Gorenflo, Mainardi and Vivoli (2007). Let us use the notations of Gorenflo, Mainardi and Vivoli (2007). There it is outlined how the random position \(x(t)\) of the wandering particle can be expressed via an operational time \(t_\ast\) (the properly scaled limit of the counting number of the renewal process to which the CTRW is subordinated) from which the physical time \(t = t(t_\ast)\) is generated by a positive-oriented stable extremal process of order \(\beta\) whereas the spatial position is generated by a stochastic process \(y = y(t_\ast)\), stable of order \(\alpha\). Then we have the process \(x = y(t_\ast(t))\) in parametric representation

\[
t = t(t_\ast), \quad x = y(t_\ast).
\]

In practice of simulation this representation can be used to produce “flash-light shots” of a set of points in the \((t,x)\) plane showing a sequence of positions of a wandering particle. One only needs routines for generating random numbers from the relevant stable probability laws. As a consequence of infinite divisibility and Markovianity of these laws the sequence of points so produced constitutes a sequence of true particle positions (possible positions of a really happening process), see Gorenflo, Mainardi and Vivoli (2007).

However, it is more common, see Feller (1971), to treat subordination directly in the form \(x = x(t) = y(t_\ast(t))\), namely to produce first the process \(t_\ast = t_\ast(t)\) of generating the operational time \(t_\ast\) from the physical time \(t\). The processes \(t = t_\ast(t)\) and \(t_\ast = t_\ast(t)\) are inverse to each other, but if \(0 < \beta < 1\) the latter is neither Markovian nor infinitely divisible. Its probability density function is \(q_0(t_\ast,t)\), evolving in physical time \(t\) and given
by formula (6.10). Sometimes the process is called a \textit{Mittag-Leffler process} (see Meerschaert et al. (2002) and search for further details also in several chapters of Feller’s famous book). The motivation for calling the process after Mittag-Leffler lies in its various relation to the Mittag-Leffler function. The inverse Laplace transform of (6.5) is \( \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{q}_0(\kappa, t)] = E_\beta(ikt) \) (a Mittag-Leffler function with imaginary argument) and the M-Wright \( M_\beta \) has by Fourier and Laplace transform two more connections to the Mittag-Leffler function, namely, see Mainardi, Mura and Pagnini (2010). For \( 0 < \beta < 1 \) we have \( M_\beta(s) = E_\beta(-s) \) and the Fourier correspondence of \( M_\beta(|x|) \) to \( 2 E_{2\beta}(-\kappa^2) \). Furthermore, see Bondesson, Kristiansen and Steutel (1996) and Meerschaert and Scheffler (2004), the Laplace-type integral

\[
\int_0^\infty \exp(-y r) q_0(r, t) \, dr = E_\beta(-yt^\beta).
\]

From (6.5) follows by Fourier inversion

\[
q_0(r, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{VP} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-i\kappa x) E_\beta(ikt^\beta) \, d\kappa. \tag{6.11}
\]

In the case \( 0 < \beta < 1 \) the probability law of the process \( t_* = t_*(t) \) is for no positive \( t \) infinitely divisible, see Bondesson, Kristiansen and Steutel (1996) and Meerschaert and Scheffler (2004). This process must not be confused with the process that is called \textit{Mittag-Leffler process} by Pillai (1990) and that is obtained via the infinite divisibility of the Mittag-Leffler distribution whose density is \( \phi^{\text{ML}}_\beta = -\frac{d}{dt} E_\beta(-t^\beta) \).

We display the resulting subordination formula (compare Meerschaert et al. (2002) and Gorenflo, Mainardi and Vivoli (2007)) for the solution \( u(x, t) \) of the Cauchy problem (3.6) (in formula (5.19) repeated):

\[
u(x, t) = \int_0^\infty f_\alpha(x, r) q_0(r, t) \, dr \tag{6.12}
\]

where the symmetric stable density \( f_\alpha(x, r) \) has the Fourier transform \( \hat{f}_\alpha(\kappa, r) \exp(-r|\kappa|^\alpha) \) and the variable \( r \) represents the operational time \( t_* \).

Let us finally observe that in the excluded limiting case \( \beta = 1 \) by again identifying \( r \) with \( t_* \), equation (6.8) leads to the result \( q_0(t_*, t) = \delta(t - t_*) \), hence \( t = t_* \) which means that in the case of exponential waiting time the physical time and the operational time coincide, almost surely).

7 Conclusions

We have discussed some (essentially two) ways of passing to the diffusion limit from continuous time random walk with power laws in time and in space (for transparency of presentation spatially symmetric and one-dimensional), namely:

(i) what we call \textit{well-scaled passage to the limit} where the rescalings of time and space are carried out in a combined way,
(ii) carrying out the passages separately in time and in space.

The limit in time, by aid of a convenient memory function, leads to the Mittag-Leffler waiting time renewal process or fractional Poisson process, and the Mittag-Leffler function becomes essential for description of long-time behavior (of renewal processes and of CTRW) whereas for the wide-space view stable distributions take the role. In the time domain there are two passages to the limit. The first one leads to an extremal stable density evolving in time, the other one by condensing the corresponding counting process to smaller and smaller counting-step-size leading to a Mittag-Leffler process as the subordinator of the continuous time random walk.

Actually we have obtained this subordinator by another splitting: by passing first to the Fractional Poisson process and from this then to the subordinator. But this additional splitting can be avoided. For our way of analyzing the transition to the limit in power law renewal processes we have got inspiration from studying the theory of thinning such processes, and we have discovered the important analogy of a limit formula in the Laplace domain.

Quite generally, for performing the necessary investigations in CTRW theory the Fourier-Laplace domain is the most convenient operational playground since a long time. To make well visible the basic ideas we have avoided measure-theoretic and functional-analytic terminology, hoping so to be not too difficult for people not so well trained in these fields.
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